Optimum limestone powder amount in mortars with over silica fume
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Abstract

The calcium hydroxide (CH) and the calcium silicate hydrate (CSH) are the main hydration products of Portland cement (PC) paste. The CH product causes the durability problems in the PC paste due to the leaching Ca^{2+} ions. Silica fume is an effective pozzolan to consume CH in the PC paste. It is only effective to convert all CH to CSH if used in excessive amounts in the PC paste. Some problems arise related to segregation, mechanical or physical properties when it is used in excessive amounts. In this study, the optimum limestone powder amount was determined to improve these problems in the mixtures.
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1. Introduction

Self-compaction concrete (SCC) was firstly used in Japan 1990 and it has been effectively used in construction industry since this date [1]. SCC has the same main ingredients similar to the conventional concrete although the amount of powder in SCC is high compared to conventional concrete [2]. SCC is described as an easily flowable concrete having no segregation of its ingredients. In the fresh state, SCC is easily spread and fills moulds without compacting [3-4]. Many researches have been performed on hydration of mineral admixtures, mixture design and workability in SCC since it was invented [5]. The fresh SCC effectively covers the reinforcing bars as well as perfectly filling the moulds. The homogeneity of SCC is better than conventional concrete. Filling moulds, covering reinforcement bars and providing homogeneity of SCC is only possible by improving the rheological properties of the fresh SCC. The fresh SCC mixture should have enough plastic viscosity as well as low viscosity. The improved flowability and packing behaviour of fresh SCC can be obtained by adjusting amounts of the superplasticizer and the powder amount [6]. The paste amount in SCC is also higher as compared with conventional concrete. Therefore, SCC is a landfill area for some powders having health and environmental hazards [3].

The maximum size of powder used in SCC is less than 0.125 mm. The used amount in it varies between 380 and 600 kg/m^3 [2]. The viscosity of SCC mixture increases when the interaction between powder particles increases because of the increase of powder amount. The powders make the solid section richer in SCC and the coarse aggregates are well covered by mortar [7]. The use of only Portland cement (PC) as powder in SCC is uneconomical approach. Another problem is that the use of high amount PC brings the durability problem in the concrete. That is why, the determined amounts of fly ash (FA), silica fume (SF), granulated blast furnace slag (GBFS), limestone powders (LP) etc. replaced with PC are used in the SCC as powder. Some quarry powders used in SCC has also been popular nowadays [8]. Some properties of fresh and hardened self-compacted mortars (SCMOs) were investigated. The effects of SF or LP on mortars or concretes were detailed given in literature review as below. Ye et. al. [4] showed that LP did not contribute in the chemical reaction in the cement paste system. This was confirmed by using thermal and BSE image analysis. They also pointed out that LP acted as an accelerator during early cement hydration. The high performance concretes having high durability properties were produced by using SF [9]. SF having effective pozzolanic properties produced discontinuous and impermeable pore structures in the cement paste system as compared with those without SF [10]. Cheng and Feldman [11] urged that SF accelerated the hydration of PC in the early hours because of released OH⁻ and alkali ions to the pore water in the paste. In the first hours, there was an increase in C₃S and C₃A hydrations. Feldman and Cheng [12] reported that the compressive strength of cement paste was decreased in the low water/binder ratios (water/binder: 0.25) when SF content increased. In high water/binder ratios (water/binder: 0.45), SF effectively increased the compressive strength at 1 and 180 days of age. The reason of the high compressive strength obtained in concrete with SF was the decreases in pore diameter, CH amount and the improved interface between paste and aggregate [12-14]. Rao [15] also investigated the effect of SF in the paste and mortar. The setting time, air content and workability were decreased when SF increased in these mixtures. The early drying shrinkage of mortars increased with the increase of SF amount while there was no any change in the late drying shrinkage. Similar results related to the drying shrinkage were found by other researchers [16-17]. Vikan and Justnes [18] performed some tests on the PC paste or mortar containing SF or LP. The viscosity of PC paste increased with the increase of SF amount, but decreased with the increase of superplasticizer amount. LP also decreased the viscosity of PC paste. It was argued that the LP distributed the PC particles more effectively than the SF. The LP had not any chemical reaction with the PC and it behaved as an inert material. In their work, the 9% LP addition effectively improved some properties of paste. Diamantonis et al. [19] made some rheological tests on the PC pastes with the natural pozzolan, SF, LP and FA or with their...
combinations. The addition of fine materials to the PC paste had a great effect on its viscosity. PC replacement with 40% LP improved the viscosity. Thus, it was proved to be the best powder. On the other hand, the SF and natural pozzolan did not show the desired effect wanted in the decrease of viscosity. LP was the preeminent powder among the powders tested. The LP greatly improved the particle packing and the deformability of the cementitious paste and reduced the amount of mixing water in the SCC [20]. The PC replacement with 10% LP improved the compressive strength of pastes and reduced the amount of superplasticizer [21]. The LP had an improved effect on the packing, workability and stability of concrete mixtures and hardened properties of concrete by making the seeding points in the PC paste for the CH and CSH in the early hydration stage [22-26]. The LP improved the interface between PC paste and aggregate, and increased the density of PC paste [27-28]. The LP improved the shrinkage and creep deformations by influencing the interior moisture of concrete [29]. The LP having appropriate grain sizes generally improved the compressive strength of concrete [30]. It was found that the LP additive contribution to the early compressive strength was higher than both the FA and SF. The PC replacement with the 30% LP decreased the mechanical strengths in the mortars. It was argued that the PC replacement with the 5% or 10% LP was convenient in the mortars [31]. It was also suggested that the use of SF, FA and LP combinations in the mortars was more effective than the use of them separately [31]. The LP contributed to the improvement of cement grains distribution and enhanced the stability of the mixture when used in concrete or mortar [32-33]. It was noted that the LP was very effective on the concrete rheology and provided the better cohesion and plasticity in the concrete [32]. Neto and Campitelli [34] used a two-point test technique to characterize the rheology of PC paste with the LP. They observed a decrease in the yield stress of cement paste when LP amount increased. It was reported that the PC replacements with 5 to 28% LP effectively reduced the water bleeding and did not adverse effect on the air entraining [35]. The ultra-fine LP reduced the water in the SCC [20]. The PC replacement with 40% for 0.15-0.30, 0.30-0.60, 0.60-1.18 and 1.18-2.36 mm, respectively.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Properties of materials used

The type of PC used in this work was CEM I 42.5R. The SF and LP were used as powders. The LP was used in mixtures after sieved from 150 μm mesh. The chemical compositions of materials are given in Table 1. The densities of PC, LP and SF were 3.10, 2.54 and 2.25 g/cm³ while their specific surface areas were 2047, 2186 (cm²/g) and 20000(BET), respectively. The density, pH value and solid ratio of High range water reducer (HRWR) used were 1.06, 7.03 and 35.52%. The river sand had the maximum grain size of 2.36 mm and the water saturated surface dry density of 2.65 g/cm³ was used. The percentages of sand by weight satisfying ASTM C33 [38] were 10, 20, 30 and 40% for 0.15-0.30, 0.30-0.60, 0.60-1.18 and 1.18-2.36 mm, respectively.

2.2 Sample preparation and tests

Five series of SCMs mixtures named as the Control, SF25, SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 were prepared as given in Table 2. While the PC replacement with the SF was 25% by weight in the SF25 mixture, the Control mixture contained only PC as binder. The SF amount was constant in the mixtures containing the PC, SF and LP while the PC replacements with the LP were 5, 10 and 20% by weight. The PC replacements with the total of SF and LP were 30, 35 and 45% by weight in the mixtures LP. The mixture proportions were given in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight %</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>SO₃</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>LOI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>63.59</td>
<td>18.90</td>
<td>5.15</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>51.79</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>43.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>83.14</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The chemical compositions of materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>W/B</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>PC</th>
<th>SF</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>HRWR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF25</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF25LP5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF25LP10</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF25LP20</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Mixture proportioning of used materials (kg/m³)

The minimum PC amount was selected as 352 kg/m³ to satisfy EN 206-1 [39] requirement which was 340 kg/m³. The water/binder ratio (W/B) was selected as 0.46. During the preparation of fresh mixtures, the powder materials and sand were mixed for 1 min. as described in ASTM C109 [40]. Then, HRWRA and water was poured on these materials. The mixture was further mixed for 4 min. Following EFNARC standard [2], the suitability of fresh mortar mixtures was tested by the mini-slump and V-funnel apparatus. The specifications of mini-slump and V-funnel flow apparatus were given in
3. Results and discussions

3.1 Slump, flow, segregation and rheological properties

All of the SCMs were produced to obtain a slump flow diameter of 25±1 cm by adjusting the dosage of HRWR admixture. As shown in Fig. 1a, the Control mixture made slight segregation between the sand and paste. In the SF mixture, there was an over segregation among the water, sand and paste. It was reported in earlier studies by different researchers that the segregation problem occurred in the SCMs or concretes when the PC replacement with the SF was higher than 15% by weight. Therefore, the segregation of SF25 mixture was an unexpected event. The water bleeding occurred at the outermost of flow ring as shown in Fig. 1b in the SF25 mixture. The slight segregation also occurred in the SF25LP5 mixture. When compared with the SF25 mixture, the segregation of SF25LP5 mixture was different than that of the SF25. The segregation occurred between the sand and paste in the SF25LP5 but there was also slight water bleeding on the outermost of flow ring as shown in Fig. 1c. As shown in Figs. 1d and 1e, the SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 did not show any segregation and their surfaces were considerably smooth.

Li and Kwan [44] reported that the addition of LP into the concrete would increase the cohesiveness of mix to avoid segregation. Turgut et al. [45] showed in their newly developed segregation testing device that LP effectively prevented the segregation of SCC when compared with the SF and FA powders.

The apparent viscosity and RPM (Rotation per Minute) relationship of mixtures were shown in Fig. 2a. The viscosity of Control mixture was the lowest in all mixtures while those of the SF25 and SF25LP5 were higher. It could be said that the 5% LP did not decrease the viscosity of SF25LP5 mixture and did not effectively improve the rheological properties. The rheological properties of SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 mixtures were improved when the PC replacements with the LP were 10 and 20% in the SF25 mixture. The increase of LP amount decreased the viscosity value in the mixtures and this result was consistent with that of Vikan and Justnes [18]. Another important thing was that the HRWR amount stayed as constant although the water/binder powder ratio was the same in the SF25, SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 mixtures. It was expected that the HRWR amount should have increased due to the increase of powder amounts in SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 mixtures. This showed that the LP dispersed more effectively the PC and SF particles than the HRWR. Vikan and Justnes [18] also found that the LP dispersed the PC particles...
more effectively than that of the SF in their work. As shown in Fig. 2b, the V-funnel flow time of mixtures with LP was decreased as compared with the SF25 mixture.

3.2 Compressive strength

In Fig. 3a and b, the compressive strengths of samples are shown at 7, 28 and 90 days. At these time points, the compressive strength of Control sample was higher than those of the other samples containing the mineral admixtures. The decrease in the compressive strength at the 7 day was the proportional with the increase of LP amount. The compressive strengths of SF25 sample at the 28 and 90 days were lower than those of the Control sample at these time points. Moreover, the compressive strengths of SF25 sample were nearly identical at the 28 and 90 days although it was expected an increase in their values because of the pozzolanic effect of SF. It was pointed out earlier that the segregation occurred in the SF25. Why did the compressive strength decrease in the SF25 sample although the SF had an excellent pozzolanic property as mixed with the PC? Why did the compressive strengths increase again in the SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples as compared with the SF25 sample? The analogy of decrease or increase in the compressive strength was shown in Fig. 4. As shown in Fig. 4, the PC and sand particles moved downward as the SF particles moved upwards because of segregation in the SF25 sample. The PC and sand amount were lower while the W/B ratio and the SF amount were higher in region 1 above the dotted line as compared to region 2 below this line. Thus, region 1 above the dotted line was firstly fractured because of the low strength value. It could be said that the SF did not efficiently show the pozzolanic effect because of higher SF and lower PC amounts in region 1. Another reason of strength decrease was the higher W/B ratio in this region. In the SF25 samples containing the LP, SF was uniformly distributed among the PC, LP and sand due to the effect of LP. As shown in Fig. 4b, the increase in the strength at the 90 day in these samples with LP might be due to the pozzolanic effect of SF. Chen and Kwan [46] argued that the LP particles might have acted as nuclei for precipitation of CSH, which increased the degree of PC hydration. They also reported that the LP reduced the bleeding in the concrete mixtures and thus, improved the bond strength of interfacial transition zone between the aggregate and mortar surface.

Another thing was that the compressive strength increased in the SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples as compared to the SF25 sample although the PC amounts were decreased in the samples with LP. As given in Table 2, the PC amounts were 480, 448, 416 and 352 kg/m³ for the SF25, SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples, respectively. The highest compressive strength increase at 90 days as compared to 28 days as percent was in SF25LP10 sample.

3.3 Fresh-hardened unit weight and water absorption-sorptivity

The fresh and hardened dry unit weight values of samples were shown in Fig. 5a. The fresh and hardened dry unit weight values of the Control sample were higher than those of the other samples with the SF and LP. This was the expected because the density of PC was higher than those of SF and LP. The unit weight values of SF sample were the lowest due to the increase of paste volume in the mixture. The interesting
situation occurred in the SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples. It was expected that their unit weight values were lower than that of the SF25 sample due to the lower density of LP (2.54) as compared with the PC (3.1). The PC replacements with the total of SF and LP were 30, 35 and 45% in the SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples. Moreover, the PC amounts were effectively decreased in these samples. The unit weight values of SF25LP5, SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples were higher than that of the SF25 sample. This could be originated from the various grain sizes of the SF and LP causing a good packing. The LP particles filled the pores between the large PC particles and the density of paste increased \[27\text{-}28,47\].

The water absorption and sorptivity of samples were shown in Fig. 5b. The lowest water absorption was obtained from the SF25 sample while the water absorptions were the highest in the SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples. The increase in the water absorption of samples with the LP could be originated from the porous structure of LP. As shown in Fig. 5b, the sorptivity had an optimum value in the SF25LP5 sample. Then, the sorptivity increased due to the increase of LP in the SF25LP10 and SF25LP20 samples. The sorptivity of Control and SF25LP20 sample were nearly identical. A hardened paste structure with fine pores due to the LP could be occurred in the SCC \[48\]. Thus, the capillary forces increased due to the increase in the total area of pore surface in the hardened paste. The sorptivity values of high performance concretes produced by Bharatkumar et al. \[49\] varied between the \(0.62\times10^{-3}\times\text{cm.s}^{-0.5}\) and \(1.47\times10^{-3}\times\text{cm.s}^{-0.5}\). Tasdemir \[50\] also performed the sorptivity tests in the concretes with the LP, SF, FA and stone powder and reported that the sorptivity values varied between \(0.46\times10^{-3}\times\text{cm.s}^{-0.5}\) and \(0.77\times10^{-3}\times\text{cm.s}^{-0.5}\). In this work, the sorptivity values varied between \(0.60\times10^{-3}\times\text{cm.s}^{-0.5}\) and \(0.45\times10^{-3}\times\text{cm.s}^{-0.5}\) and were near the reference \[49,50\].

3.4 Drying shrinkage

The drying shrinkage (DS) values at 4, 11, 18 and 25th days are shown in Fig 6. The DS values of all samples increased with time. The DS value of SF25LP10 was the lowest at 4th day while that of the SF25 was the highest at 4th and 25th day. At 4th day, the DS value of Control sample was lower than that of the SF25. It was interesting that the DS values of the Control and SF25LP10 samples were nearly identical at 4th, 18th and 25th days. Setter and Roy \[16\] and Rao \[17\] found that the SF significantly increased the DS of concrete. They also reported that the SF did not increase the DS at later ages while increasing at early ages due to the starting of pozzolanic reaction effect of the SF. One of the reasons in the increase of the DS was the surface tension on the secondary CSH gels occurred with the reaction between the CH and SF. It was reported that the specific surface area of LP affected the DS of concrete \[51\text{-}52\]. The density of micro-structure of hardened paste increased when the specific surface area of LP was higher than that of the PC. Thus, the DS of mortar with the LP was decreased. As seen in Fig. 5a, the fresh and hardened unit weight values of SF25LP10 sample were higher than those of the other samples with the LP and SF. This showed that the DS of SF25LP10 sample was low due to its dense structure. Adam and Race \[53\] investigated several cements with the additions of grounded or blended LP. Their data indicated that the addition of 2 to 5% limestone in Type I or Type II cement could significantly
increase DS at 4th day. In this study, the DS values in the samples with the LP at 4th day were lower than those of the Control and SF25 although the LP amount increased in the samples. Dhir et al. [55] investigated the DS of PC containing up to the 15% LP. They showed that the measured autogenous shrinkage in the PC and the PC containing LP systems were nearly equal.

4. Conclusion

The paper dealt with optimum LP amount in SCM with 25% SF to improve some properties of SCM. The optimum amount of LP was found 10% in SCM containing 25% SF. 10% LP used in SCM increased the compressive strength by preventing segregation and decreased the drying shrinkage of SCM. Water absorption and sorptivity values of SCM increased in 10% LP compared to SCM with 25% SF but they were acceptable levels. The rheological properties of mixtures were also improved at 10% LP amount.
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